

1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/937,772	02/11/2002	Barry C. Marvin		7091	
7590 09/10/2003					
Chernoff Vilhauer McClung & Stenzel			EXAMINER		
1600 Ods Tower 601 SW Second Avenue			STINSON, I	FRANKIE L	
Portland, OR	97/204-3157		EXAMINER STINSON, FRANKIE L ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1746	PAPER NUMBER	
			1746		
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ .				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V				
	09/937,772	MARVIN, BARRY C.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period from the period for reply will, by statute and the period for reply will, by statute and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply l ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	nn						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 29</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>13-28 and 30</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers	or oloollorroquirolloris	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acco	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	·						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application	n).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language point 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •						
Attachment(s)			•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/937,772

Art Unit: 1746

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-10 and 29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,155,277. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant invention only differ from the claims of U. S. Pat. No. 6,155,277 by claims a first hose portion and a second hose portion versus that of a suction hose (equivalent to the first hose portion) and a discharged hose (equivalent to the second hose portion).
- 3. Claims 11 and 12 are allowed.
- 4. Applicant is advised that should claims 1-10 be found allowable, claims 13-22, 25 and 26, will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Application/Control Number: 09/937,772

Art Unit: 1746

- 5. Claims 23, 24, 27, 28 and 30 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 11 and 12. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In DeBoer, Harmon, Kowalcyzk, Waitzinger, Ohaski et al., Japan'003 and Horton, note the truck cleaning means.
- 7. inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. The examiner can normally be reached during the first week of the pay-period M-F from 5:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. and during the second week of the pay-period from Tu-Th second from 5:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. and on Fri. from 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. Alternating Mondays off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 (NON-FINAL REJECTION STATUS) and (703) 872-9311 (AFTER-FINAL REJECTION STATUS).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,772

Art Unit: 1746

Page 4

Any inquiry for missing parts of this Office Action (copies of references, pages, forms etc.), contact Office Manger Ms. Sandra Sewell (703) 308-0661.

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746